UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,400	06/30/2003	Mats Lidstrom	CX03001USU	1900
34408	7590 10/16/2007	EXAMINER		
THE ECLIPSE GROUP 10605 BALBOA BLVD., SUITE 300			VO, DON NGUYEN	
GRANADA H	ILLS, CA 91344		CX03001USU 1900 EXAMINER VO, DON NGUYEN ART UNIT PAPER NUME 2611	PAPER NUMBER
•	'		2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<u></u>			\$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Assistant Occurrence	10/611,400	LIDSTROM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DON N. VO	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a h. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	2 August 2007		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ ⁻	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal mat	tters, prosecution as to the merits is	i
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application	tion.	·	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con	rrection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	l).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum			
2. Certified copies of the priority docum			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	·	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bu	, , , , ,	h anna in a d	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	received.	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

6) Other: ____.

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgment

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on 5/2/2007 and 8/2/2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 10, 11, 20, 21 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hamilton et al (US 5,504,816).

Regarding claims 1, 10, 11, 20, 21 and 24, Hamilton, as shown in figures 2-4, teaches a method and apparatus for transcoding (converting) a received first digital signal with the first modulation and encoding scheme (24) to a second digital signal with a second modulation and encoding scheme comprising demodulator (62, 63, 65), modulator (74, 76, 78, 80) and upconverter (82). See also column 4, line 49 to column 6, line 33.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/611,400

Art Unit: 2611

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 2-8, 12-18 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamilton et al (US 5,504,816) in view of Myers (US 6,771,710).

Regarding claims 2-8, 12-18 and 22, Hamilton teaches all subject matter claimed except for the further details of the upconverter comprising upsampler, mixers and combiner. However, Myers, as shown in figures 1 and 6, teaches an upconverter comprising upsampler (32), mixers (34, 36, 40, 42) and combiner (46) which can be implemented using gate array to improve the fidelity and high speed operation. See Myers: column 2, line 44 to column 4, line 11. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Hamilton by implementing the

Art Unit: 2611

upconverter (82) using the arrangement of upsampler, mixers and combiner as taught by Myers so that high fidelity and high speed operation can be achieved.

7. Claims 9, 19 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamilton et al (US 5,504,816).

Regarding claims 9, 19 and 23, Hamilton teaches all subject matter claimed except for specifying the first modulation and encoding scheme is 8-PSK Turbo Coding. Hamilton uses QPSK or QAM and Viterbi coding instead. See Hamilton: column 4, lines 41-48. However, such 8-PSK modulation and Turbo coding is well known in the art of digital communications at the time of invention and therefor, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Hamilton by employing the 8-PSK modulation and Turbo coding since it is just an alternative way of modulating and coding the data.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 9. applicant's disclosure. References Mao et al (US 6,459,427) and Fries (US

Application/Control Number: 10/611,400

Art Unit: 2611

2006/0259937) are cited because they are pertinent to the method and apparatus for transcoding the digital signal.

Page 5

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DON N. VO whose telephone number is (571) 272-3018. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (9:00AM - 6:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MOHAMMAD GHAYOUR can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DON N. VO

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2611